IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

TUESDAY, THE 13TH MARCH 2007 / 22ND PHALGUNA 1928

OP.No. 948 of 1999(K)

PETITIONER:
---

1. M.K. JOSE, P.W.D. CONTRACTOR,PADIMARUTHU P.O., KASARAGOD DIST.

2. E.V. KRISHNA PODUVAL, P.W.D. CONTRACTOR, BELLA P.O. (VIA) ANANDHASRAMAM, KASARAGOD DIST.

3. C.NARAYANAN, P.W.D. CONTRACTOR, CHAYOTH P.O., NILESHWAR (VIA), KASARAGOD DIST.

4. P.PRABHAKARAN, P.W.D. CONTRACTOR, KUTTAMATHU HOUSE, CHERUVATHOOR P.O., KASARAGOD DIST.

5. K. MOIDU HAJEE, P.W.D. CONTRACTOR, FEREETHA MANZIL, MAIN BAZZAR, NILESHWAR, KASARAGOD DIST.

6. M.V. KUNHAPPAN, P.W.D. CONTRACTOR, THRIKARIPUR P.O. KASARAGOD DIST.

BY ADV. SRI.ABRAHAM VAKKANAL

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE NIRMITH KENDRA, KASARAGOD, REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN, DISTRICT COLLECTOR, COLLECTORATE, PULIKUNNU P.O., KASARAGOD.

3. THE KERALA STATE CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION LTD., REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR, CARMEL CENTRE, BANERJI ROAD, KOCHI 18

4. THE DISTRICT PANCHAYAT, KASARAGOD, REPRESENTED BY THE ASST. EXE. ENGINEER, SUB DIVISION, KANHANGAD, KASARAGOD.

R1 TO R3 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. SMITHA
R4 BY ADV. SRI.A.A.ABUL HASSAN

THIS ORIGINAL PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 13/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER ON CMP 1604 OF 1999 IN OP 948 OF 1999

DISMISSED

13.3.2007 SD/-C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE.

TRUE COPY

P.S. TO JUDGE.

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR,J.

O.P. NO. 948 OF 1999

Dated this the 13th day of March, 2007

JUDGMENT

O.P. was filed more than 7 years back challenging Government orders on award of contract to Nirmithi Kendra for execution of work for local bodies. Petitioner's case is that open tenders should have been invited for all Government work to ensure transperancy and advantage in competitive bidding. Norms are of the year 1998-99 and it is not known whether the same system is followed even now. Since O.P. has become infructuous, it is accordingly closed leaving freedom to the petitioner to challenge the current norms, if there is any irregularity or impropriety in the same.

(C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR)
Judge